

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,227		02/14/2002	Hans-Jurgen Schreiner	CERA 238 (10201695)	8559
24972	7590	08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP				ADDISON, KAREN B	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198		ı	, ART UNIT	,PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			M_{i}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- N.
Advison, Action	10/076,227	SCHREINER, HANS-JURGEN	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen B Addison	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and application	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date form: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more data of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ision and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant		-	may readed any
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · ——	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 35-58.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		a
10. Other:	PRIMA	M. Coergher M. DOUGHERTY ARY EXAMINER ROUP 28(1)	to the second

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Shuichi discloses a multilayer actuator with electrodes between the piezoelectric layers. A closer look at the figure shows the connection of the internal electrodes(6,7). Each internal electrode is slightly rotated from the electrode above it, so the outer electrode(6,7) only provides voltage to every other electrode respectively. Notice in between each piezoelecrtic layer that there is an area(9) wich is electrode free. That electrode free. That electrode free area is positioned, such that there is an area (9) which is electrode free. That electrode area is positioned, such that each successive piezoelectric ceramic layer has a free area that is rotated a precise number of degrees from the layer above it. Given this, and given that the applicant also shows this arrangent, Shuichi is regarded as reading on the claim formula, though he does not explicitly state it. In other words, if the formula is thus applicable to the claim invention, it must likewise be applicable to shuichi reference. Therefore, the rejection stands.